UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,964	04/07/2004	Kazuhisa Fujimoto	H-5028	9555
	7590 05/04/201 & MALUR, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			SAVLA, ARPAN P	
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N 42 CAL 1	10/820,964	FUJIMOTO ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Arpan P. Savla	2185		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
This application is abandoned in view of:				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	o of <sup>©</sup> is due			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1 18(d) is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		οι ττ τ. το(α), το φ <u> </u>		
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>				
after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review		
7. X The reason(s) below:				
On April 30, 2010 the Examiner spoke with Karen T be filed in the application.	hunderg (Docketing) who confirm	ed that no further response will		
/Sanjiv Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		